UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

SAN JOSE DIVISION

JERMAINE MONTIEL,) Case No.: C 07-5490 PSG)
Plaintiff,	PROPOSED VERDICT FORM
v.)
CITY OF SAN JOSE, et al,)
Defendants.)

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: June 7, 2013

PAUL S. GREWAL

United States Magistrate Judge

VERDICT FORM

I.	Excessive Force Claims					
1.	Did either Defendant use excessive force in arresting Plaintiff?					
	Officer Matt Williams		Yes		No	
	Sergeant Carl Sheppard		Yes		No	
	answered "Yes" to one or both Deferdant for whom you answered "Yes" a Claim).					
2.	Was the use of excessive force a sub	stantial	factor in causii	ng harm	to Plaintiff?	
	Officer Matt Williams		Yes		No	
	Sergeant Carl Sheppard		Yes		No	
-	answered "Yes" to one or both Defer f San Jose). If not, go to Section III (_	•		_	
II.	Constitutional Claim against City of	San Jos	se			
3.	Did Plaintiff prove that Defendant City of San Jose deprived him of his constitutional rights by maintaining an official policy or custom of unlawful seizure or excessive force?					
	Yes		No			
If you	answered "Yes," go to Question 4. If	not, go	to Section III (Delay o	f Medical Care Claim).	
4.	Did Plaintiff prove that the City of S force behind a violation of his const		-	y or cus	tom was the moving	
	Yes		No			
Go to	Section III (Delay of Medical Care C	laim).				
III.	Delay of Medical Care Claim					
5.	Did Defendants act with deliberate i	ndiffere	nce to a serious	s medica	al need of Plaintiff?	
	Officer Matt Williams		Yes		No	
	Sergeant Carl Sheppard		Yes		No	
If you	answered "Yes" to one or both Defer	ndants, g	go to Question (5 and an	swer as to each of the	

Defendants for whom you answered "Yes" above. If not, go to Section IV (Negligence).

6.	Was the deliberate indifference a substantial factor in causing harm to Plaintiff?				n to Plaintiff?
	Officer Matt Williams		Yes		No
	Sergeant Carl Sheppard		Yes		No
Go to	Section IV (Negligence).				
IV.	Negligence				
7.	Were either of the Defendants neg	ligent?			
	Officer Matt Williams		Yes		No
	Sergeant Carl Sheppard		Yes		No
•	answered "Yes" to one or both Defordants for whom you answered "Yes"		-		
8.	Was the negligence of any Defend	ant a sub	stantial factor i	n causin	g Plaintiff's harm?
	Officer Matt Williams		Yes		No
	Sergeant Carl Sheppard		Yes		No
•	answered "Yes" to one or both Defe Act Claim).	endants, ş	go to Question	9. If no	t, go to Section V
9.	Was Plaintiff negligent?				
	Yes No				
If you	answered "Yes," go to Question 10	. If not, §	go to Section V	(Bane A	Act Claim).
10.	Was Plaintiff's negligence a substa	antial fact	tor in causing h	is harm	?
	Yes No				
If you	answered "Yes," go to Question 11	. If not, §	go to Section V	(Bane A	Act Claim).
11.	What percentage of responsibility	for Plaint	tiff's harm do y	ou assig	gn to Plaintiff?
	%				
Go to	Section V (Bane Act Claim)				

V.	Bane Act Claim				
12.	Answer this question only if you answered "Yes" to any Defendant in Questions 2 (Excessive Force Claim), 4 (Constitutional Claim against City of San Jose), or 6 (Delay of Medical Care Claim). If not, go to Section VI (Battery Claim). Did Defendants interfere with Plaintiff's constitutional rights through threats, intimidation, and coercion?				
	Officer Matt Williams	Yes	No		
	Sergeant Carl Sheppard	Yes	No		
Go to	Section VI (Battery Claim).				
VI.	Battery Claim				
13.	Did Defendants touch Plaintif	f with the intent to harm	or offend him?		
	Officer Matt Williams	Yes	No		
	Sergeant Carl Sheppard	Yes	No		
•		Yes" in Question 13. If	not, go to Section VII (False		
14.	Did Defendants use unreasona	_			
	Officer Matt Williams	Yes			
	Sergeant Carl Sheppard	Yes			
•	u answered "Yes" to one or both e Arrest Claim).	Defendants, go to Ques	ion 15. If not, go to Section	VII	
15.	Did Plaintiff consent to the use	e of that force?			
	Yes	No			
	u answered "No," go to Question rered "Yes." If not, go to Section				
16.	Was Defendants' use of unrea Plaintiff?	sonable force a substant	ial factor in causing harm to	1	
	Officer Matt Williams	Yes	No		
	Sergeant Carl Sheppard	Yes	No		
Go to	Section VII (False Arrest Claim	1)			

VII.	False Arrest Claim				
17. Did Defendants arrest Plaintiff without a warrant?					
	Officer Matt Williams	Yes	No		
	Sergeant Carl Sheppard	Yes	No		
Defen	answered "Yes" to one or both Defendant for whom you answered "Yes." ional Distress Claim).				
18.	Did Plaintiff either rush toward other officers who were investigating a crime or appear as if he could not care for himself or others because of intoxication?				
	Yes No				
answe	answered "No," go to Question 19 are ered "Yes" in Question 17. If not, go ess Claim).				
19.	Was Defendants' conduct a substan	tial factor in causing	g harm to Plaintiff?		
	Officer Matt Williams	Yes	No		
	Sergeant Carl Sheppard	Yes	No		
Go to	Section VIII (Intentional Infliction of	f Emotional Distress	Claim).		
VIII.	I. Intentional Infliction of Emotional Distress Claim				
20.	Was Defendants' conduct lawful an	d consistent with co	mmunity standards?		
	Officer Matt Williams	Yes	No		
	Sergeant Carl Sheppard	Yes	No		
•	answered "Yes" to one or both Defendant for whom you answered "Yes."	_			
21.	Did Defendants have a good-faith b conduct?	elief that they had a	legal right to engage in the		
	Officer Matt Williams	Yes	No		
	Sergeant Carl Sheppard	Yes	No		
If you	answered "No" to one or both Defen	dants, go to Questio	n 22 and answer as to each		

Defendant for whom you answered "No." If not, go to Section IX (Tort Liability against the City of San Jose Claim).

22.	Was Defendants' conduct outrageous?					
	Officer Matt Williams		Yes		No	
	Sergeant Carl Sheppard		Yes		No	
Defend	answered "Yes" to one or both Deferdant for whom you answered "Yes." San Jose Claim).	-	-			
23.	Did Defendants intend to cause Plaintiff emotional distress?					
	Officer Matt Williams		Yes		No	
	Sergeant Carl Sheppard		Yes		No	
	answered "Yes" to one or both Defer iability against the City of San Jose		nswer Question	n 24. If	not, go to Section IX	
24.	Did Plaintiff suffer severe emotiona	al distress	s?			
	Yes No					
•	answered "Yes," go to Question 25 a red "Yes" in Question 23. If not, go laim).					
25.	Was Defendants' conduct a substant	tial facto	or in causing Pl	aintiff's	emotional distress?	
	Officer Matt Williams		Yes		No	
	Sergeant Carl Sheppard		Yes		No	
Go to S	Section IX (Tort Liability against the	City of	San Jose Claim	n).		
IX.	Tort Liability against the City of Sa	n Jose C	laim			
Answer Questions 26 and 27 only if you answered "Yes" to one or both Defendants in Questions 8 (Negligence Claim), 12 (Bane Act Claim), 16 (Battery Claim), 19 (False Arrest Claim) or 25 (Intentional Infliction of Emotional Distress Claim). If not, go to Section X (Damages).						
26.	Were Defendants acting within the scope of their employment with the City of San Jose when they harmed Plaintiff?					
	Officer Matt Williams		Yes		No	
	Sergeant Carl Sheppard		Yes			
If you a	answered "Yes" to one or both Deferges).	ndants, g	go to Question 2	27. If no	ot, go to Section X	

27.	7. What was the percentage of responsibility for each of the Defendants and the City Jose?				
	%	Officer Matt Williams	%	Sergeant Carl Sheppard	
	%	City of San Jose			
Go to	Section X (Dar	mages).			
X.	Damages				
City of in Que Claim	f San Jose) or 2 estions 2 (Exce), 12 (Bane Act ion of Emotion	on 28 if you answered "Yes" to Qu 26 (Tort Liability against City of S ssive Force Claim), 6 (Delay of M t Claim), 16 (Battery Claim), 19 (I al Distress Claim). If you answer her questions, and have the foreper	San Jose) on Iedical Car False Arrested "No" to	r as to one or both Defendants e Claim), 8 (Negligence et Claim), or 25 (Intentional all of those questions, stop	
28.	What is the to	otal amount of damages, if any, su	ffered by P	laintiff?	
	\$	_			
Go to	Question 29.				
29.	Did Plaintiff	fail to use reasonable effort to mit	igate his da	ımages?	
	Yes	No			
If you	answered "Yes	s," go to Question 30. If not, go to	o Question	31.	
30.	How much of Plaintiffs' damages could have been mitigated by Plaintiff's reasonable efforts?				
	\$				
Go to	Question 31.				
31.	Did Defendar fraud?	nts or the City of San Jose engage	in conduct	with malice, oppression, or	
	Yes	No			
		s," go to Question 32. If not, stop sign and date this form.	here, answ	ver no further questions, and	
32.	What amount	, if any, do you award in punitive	damages?		
	\$				
Have t	he foreperson	sign and date this form.			
Ciana	1.		Dota	1.	